

Chi Fong KUONG




No. of responses = 39

Overall indicators

Global Index


1. Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation

2. Course Evaluation




Legend

Question text




1. Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation


1.1) Spoken English




1.2) Skill at involving members of the class




1.3) Clarity in presenting course material




1.4) Made the classes intellectually stimulating for you




1.5) Communicated a structure to the topic of each class meeting




1.6) Provided helpful feedback on your work/performance




1.7) Improved your understanding of the course material



1.8) Please rate your own contribution to classes/ seminars using the same scale



1.9) In general, how satisfied have you been so far with the class teaching on this course by this teacher?



1.10) Please write below aspects of this teacher's class/seminar teaching that you think could be improved and/or aspects that were particularly helpful.

Moves very fast in the highly technical maths part.
Cannot get the intuition properly before next concept is discussed.

Very clear explanation of course work and that help me understand the material well.

Clear explanations of homeworks → very useful to get more in-depth of lecture. Explanations well detailed and provided in an interesting way.

- well explained theory,
- it would maybe be better to work a little ~~of~~ more slowly on the exercises

Having the excel work sheet up onto the moodle.

Very good in explaining the topics; in particular he gives additional intuitive explanations.

More time should be given for this class.

The class teacher clarifies a lot of confusion I had after the lecture, which is very helpful.

Classes helped me cope up with the difficulties faced in the lecture.

THE PROFESSOR IS CLEAR AND ATTENDING THE SEMINARS IS USEFUL.

STILL, I WOULD LIKE TO PRACTICE IN CLASS THE TYPOLOGY OF EXERCISES THAT ARE LIKELY TO BE IN THE EXAM.


Very Clear presentation which help a lot in understanding the topic

His ways of explanation in every detail are helpful.


very well structured and good at explaining things.

2. Course Evaluation


2.1) How do you rate the reading list(s) provided for this course?




2.2) How many hours of independent study do you put into this course each week?




2.3) How useful have you found the Moodle and/or any other course materials?




2.4) How satisfied have you been with this course content as a whole to date?




2.5) How satisfied have you been with the lectures as a whole to date?




2.6) How satisfied have you been with the integration of classes with lectures?




2.7) How satisfied have you been with the amount of contact time with teachers for this course?



2.8) How satisfied are you that the feedback received on this course will be helpful in preparing you for the final course assessment?



2.9) Would you recommend this course to other students?



2.10) Please write below what you think could be improved about this course as a whole and/or aspects of the course that have been particularly positive.

Better explanation of notation in course pack.

Offer help to students without a strong background in maths. and materials
It covers a lot but not in depth.

- case study was interesting,
- it would be better to make the course a little more technical
- grading on the problem sets was not explained very well
→ seemed a little arbitrary at some points.

More exercises can be provided.

• assessed assignments were corrected in a very sloppy fashion, a friend of mine who basically made the same mistakes as me got an A on the second graded assignment, while I got a C (I understand that there are lots of students) → maybe some more diligence should be put into this

more applicable solutions of the real world problems.

Proper course materials including some basics. And as a pre requisite please mention "proper knowledge of finance" instead of "basic finance theory". Because the lecturer uses terms and models that are never used in "basic finance theory". Classes are good though.

THE READINGS SUGGESTED ARE OFTEN VERY LONG AND NOT ENTIRELY CLEAR.


OVERALL, I DON'T REALLY KNOW WHICH DEGREE OF DETAIL I NEED TO SCORE IN MY EXAM.

More details on the lecture slides / notes


module could be better organized with the materials available there (readings etc)

3. Your Information

3.1) Which category of student are you?




3.2) Which of the reasons given below describe why you are taking the course? *(Please select all that apply)*



Profile

█ **Compilation:** Instructor profile
█ **Comparative line:** Finance Class Survey MT 11 12

1. Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation



2. Course Evaluation

